Philosophy and Social Science Vol. 2 No. 5, 2025

The Effectiveness of Student Involvement: Based on Cognitive Theory

Tang Zhongying
Marxism School, Chongqing University of Arts and Sciences, Yongchuan, Chongqing, China

Abstract: The Cross-Sectional Study Examined Multidimensional Student Involvement (Affective/Behavioral/Cognitive Domains) Via Teacher Assessments (n=498). Results Revealed Interrelationships: Strong Engagement in Extra-Curricular Activity Significantly Enhanced Academic Investment, Substantiated Multiple Statistical Correlations. Notably, Affective Commitment Facilitated Behaviors Improving Cognition. Institutional Practices Leveraging Activity-Based Participation Shows Strategic Importance in Collegiate Learning Environments as Predictors Success Indicators.

Keywords: Student Involvement; Affective; Behavioral; Cognitive

1. Introduction

The effectiveness of education is greatly affected by student involvement. In China, the importance of higher education is widely recognized, and it plays a vital role in shaping students' worldview, outlook on life, and values. President Xi Jinping pointed out: "It is lucky for a person to meet a good teacher in life, it is the glory of a school to have good teachers, and it is the hope of a nation to have a steady stream of good teachers. " (Yang, 2020). To ensure the smooth implementation of higher education, a comprehensive development plan for teachers must be formulated in this field. the program should focus on cultivating student involvement.
involvement refers to the subject has entered the input state and is inevitably affected by external factors, but it can freely adjust the level of investment in the participation process for balance. Likewise, this involvement approach emphasizes psychological engagement, rather than passive behavior, requires emotion participate. By stimulating participants initiative and creativity, involvement is the sharing of power and responsibility on an equal basis individuals take the initiative to participate in group activities rather than become a passive recipient0. This is consistent with the results of Rafiq, Triyono, and Djatmiko. W (2023), that student involvement is related to grades and persistence, while campus involvement is affected by school policies and practices. Student involvement is related to grades and persistence, while campus involvement is affected by school policies and practices. However, student involvement also faces some challenges. Although students work hard, sometimes they may lack motivation. Factors such as monotony, disinterest in the topic, or external interference will all affect their degree of involvement. For example, the curriculum at Chinese public universities is highly demanding and courses are often predetermined, which combined with limited time for extracurricular activities or personal pursuits can hinder a student's ability to involve themselves in the overall learning experience. Additionally, students may experience excessive homework, assignments, and exams, leading to student involvement stress and time management challenges.

The researchers also considered teachers' personal experiences in schools and found that Teaching Quality is closely related to student involvement. In this regard, their teaching ability to assess their student Involvement as Affective, Behavioral and Cognitive will be enhanced compared to their current abilities. In addition to the above importance, teacher self-development can also be achieved.

When all variables are met, the better the assessment of Affective involvement, the more capable they are of Behavioral involvement, and Thus the higher the degree of Cognitiv involvement. This study will achieve such an effect that it will provide concrete evidence of its efficacy.

This study is also crucial for researchers because that all their questions and goals regarding teacher teaching competency and effectiveness were answered, that is, the internal drive to

improve the respondent's teaching ability. Therefore, as a teacher, this study will be of great help in achieving teachers' personal development goals.

Thus, this study could serve as an avenue for valuable new policy reforms that further equip university faculty, especially those at public universities, with the theory and skills necessary to teach with individuals, schools, and society in a variety of counseling settings, and to consider the personality traits of the student involvement. In addition, the results of this study will provide better engagement and serve as a basis for the educational needs of Chinese public university teachers to improve their teaching competence and student involvement.

2. Methods

2.1 Research Design

The researcher used the descriptive method of Affective, Behavioral and Cognitive of student involvement in Chinese public universities. According to Student Engagement in Student Involvement Questionnaire (SESQ). Lam & Jimerson, 2008, for a description of this process and the international scholars who participated. the descriptive method is used in the assessment and finds answers to questions through the analysis of variables' relationship. It is very important and preliminary to the study to utilize various school documents and records to serve as basis for evaluating programs as basis for development and improvement.

2.2 Participants of the Study

The respondents of the study were participating teachers from various Chinese public universities. The teachers gauged as to the use of campus culture, teaching competence, and student involvement in their classroom management styles.

There were around 1.8 million full-time teachers of higher education in higher education institutions in China. With this, the ideal number of respondents was determined, in which the target respondents consisted of 498 college teachers from the colleges in the six public Universities in China. They were the college teachers working in public colleges in China. These participants were also those who have at least worked as college education for more than one year.

In addition, the sampling method employed was random sampling, also known as simple random sampling. Generally, this makes a population that contains multiple individuals. In here, a sample was taken one by one and was taken one by one where the probability of everyone being drawn was equal each time. This survey adopted the non-repeated sampling method, and each sample was sampled once. the samples in the sample were not put back into the population, as the units in the sample can only be sampled once. the sampling frame of this survey had the public college teachers in China as the survey object.

2.3 Data Gathering Instrument

The data gathered is tabulated and is analyzed according to the following statistical method or tools. Frequency distribution to express the profile variable frequencies as to the percentage of the total frequency. Weights mean ranking to measure Student Involvement. Analysis of Student Involvement questionnaire was used to measure the student involvement, to measure the student involvement of respondents in the three areas of affective, behavioral and cognitive. This study basically utilizes a research-based questionnaire to gather the needed data on the affective, behavioral and cognitive of student involvement in Chinese public universities. The questionnaire is subjected to the criticism of the adviser for proper scrutiny of the instrument. This tool covered four parts, the first part; the profile of the respondents as to:Sex, edge, education and length of service, relevant teachers. The second part will learn Student Involvement, including a Standardized Adapted questionnaire by Lam and Jimerson, 2008, for a description of this process and the international scholars who participated. Some words will be changed to suit the needs of the researcher's study.

2.4 Data Gathering Procedure

Authorization and a list of university workers were obtained after the researcher requested them from human resources. The Researcher allotted two weeks for distribution and another two weeks for retrieval of response from employees. Tentative schedule set was from August to September, 2023. A Wenjuanxing form was used thru the help of teachers, friends and colleagues. the data gathering instrument was divided in three major parts and used a 4-point Likert scale. This was facilitated using Microsoft Forms (online) while pilot testing for

validity and reliability of the questionnaires as well as other statistical tests was determined by the Statistician.

2.5 Data Analysis

Data were first collected from the questionnaire and tabulated. the first part uses frequency counts and percentages to determine the distribution of respondents. At the same time, the weighted average method was used to assess Student Involvement's performance in Affective (Liking for Learning), Affective (Liking for school), Behavioral (Effort and Persistence), Behavioral (Extra Curricular), Cognitive, etc. For the test of correlation and difference, this study uses a t-test between given variables.

2.6 Ethical Consideration

The data collected were subjected to the Data Privacy Act and were treated with utmost confidentiality. When conducting this research, the author fully considered the ethical factors to ensure that every piece of information collected was used in this research to ensure the quality and integrity of this research. the researcher contacted the administrators and offices of each school or university for consent by way of a solicitation to ensure that the target respondents were prepared to answer the necessary questions involved in the study. It fully guaranteed the confidentiality and anonymity of the respondents as the researcher will not disclose their names and personal information while answering the questionnaire. the researcher also ensured that the respondents voluntarily answered the questionnaire according to their own wishes. During the interview process, the personal opinions of the respondents were also fully respected to ensure that all information was determined based on the respondents' own personal opinions. Lastly, the researcher also ensured that the study respondents were not hurt because their safety is the top priority.

3. Results and Discussion

In terms of sex male is 207 or 41.5%, while female is 291 or 58.4%. As to age 20-30 years old is 205 or 413.2%, 31-45 years old is 235 or 47.2%, 46-60 is 58 or 11.6%. College Degree is 43 or 4.6%, Undergraduate's degree is 226 or 45.4%, Masteral degree is 147 or 29.5, and Doctoral degree is 102 or 20.5%.

For the length of service, less than 5 years (including 5 years) is 223 or 44.8%, 5-10 years (including10 years) is 98 or 19.7%, 10-20 years (including 20 years) is129 or 25.9%, and more than 20 years is 48 or 9.6%.

Summarizing the results, the majority of respondents are middle-aged or older; they are predominantly female; they typically have a Undergraduate in their field of expertise; Their service years usually are less than 5 years (including 5 years).

Table 1. Student Involvement as to Affective (Liking for Learning)

Indicators	Weighted Mean	Verbal Interpretation	Rank
1. Students are very interested in learning.	3.24	Agree	3.5
2. Students think what they are learning in school is interesting.	3.24	Agree	3.5
3. Students like what they are learning in school.	3.32	Agree	1
4. Students enjoy learning new things in class.	3.26	Agree	2
Composite Mean	3.26	Agree	

Legend: 3.50 – 4.00 = Strongly Agree; 2.50 – 3.49 = Agree; 1.50 – 2.49 = Disagree; 1.00-1.49 = Strongly Disagree

As can be seen from the results in Table 1, the composite mean of 3.26 shows the respondents have agreed on the given indicators citing Student Involvement as to Affective (Liking for Learning). To note, the indicator citing that students like what they are learning in school in the highest weighted mean of 3.32. On the other hand, the least assessed was on "Students are very interested in learning" and "Students think what they are learning in school is interesting. " This got a relatively low weighted mean of 3.24. From these results, it can perhaps be assumed that basically, the college students have derived satisfaction and liked their learning well as they were highly intrinsically motivated in study. Among the interviewees, it can be found that the respondents were relatively satisfied with affective by Student Involvement as to Affective (Liking for learning), and the gap between the three items is not big, but relatively close.

Table 2. Student Involvement as to Affective (Liking for school)

Indicators	**Weighted Mean**	**Verbal Interpretation**	**Rank**
1. Students like their school	3.29	Agree	1

2. Students are proud to be at this school.	3.27	Agree	2
3. Students are happy to be at this school.	3.20	Agree	3.5
4. Students try hard to do well in school	3.20	Agree	3.5
Composite Mean	**3.24**	**Agree**	

Legend: 3.50 – 4.00 = Strongly Agree; 2.50 – 3.49 = Agree; 1.50 – 2.49 = Disagree; 1.00-1.49 = Strongly Disagree

As can be seen from the results in Table 2, the composite mean of 3.24 shows the respondents have agreed on the given indicators citing Student Involvement as to Affective (Liking for school). To note, the indicator citing that Students like their school was expressed in the highest weighted mean of 3.29. On the other hand, the least assessed was on Students try hard to do well in school. This got a relatively low weighted mean of 3.20. From these results, it can perhaps be assumed that basically, the college students have derived satisfaction and liked their school well as they were highly intrinsically motivated in study. Among the interviewees, it can be found that the respondents were relatively satisfied with affective by Student Involvement as to Affective (Liking for school), and the gap between the three items is not big, but relatively close.

From the data results, we can infer how much students like their school. Functional analysis is a term commonly used in psychology and behavior analysis to understand the relationship between behavior and its underlying function or purpose. When students' involvement and school preferences are viewed from a functional analysis perspective, the possible functions that drive their behavior can be explored. When students enjoy school, they are more likely to participate in activities, contribute to the school community, and take an active role in education. Increased student involvement leads to higher academic achievement, personal growth, and a more dynamic school environment.

The data results show that item 4, Students try hard to do well in school has the lowest score, with a Weighted Mean of 3.20. It may be caused by a variety of factors. Such as uninspiring teaching methods, irrelevant or uninteresting lessons, or lessons lacking clear aims and objectives; lack of guidance from parents, mentors, or teachers, and limited access to resources such as tutoring or academic advising; lack of personalized guidance or feedback; poor assessment and assessment practices and so on. furthermore, If assessment and grading practices place too much emphasis on rote learning, high-stakes testing, or a narrow range of skills, students may see little value in the extra effort or motivation to work hard, it may decrease when students realize that their academic work is disconnected from future goals or the real world. This view was confirmed by Melnichuk and Belogash that student involvement in the higher education process reflects the self-effects of the academic, social and career orientation of education, which contributes to student academic success and career attainment.

Table 2 presents student involvement in terms of Behavioral (Effort and Persistence). the composite mean of 3.24 indicates that the respondents agreed in general. Among the items cited, “When they are in class, they participate in class activities” ranked first with mean score of 3.29, followed by “They pay attention in class” (3.27), “If they have trouble understanding a problem, they go over it again until they understand it. ” (3.20), “When they run into a difficult homework problem, they keep working at it until they think they’ve solved it” (3.20)

Table 3. Student Involvement as to Behavioral (Effort and Persistence)

Indicators	**Weighted Mean**	**Verbal Interpretation**	**Rank**
1. When they are in class, they participate in class activities.	3.29	Agree	1
2. They pay attention in class.	3.27	Agree	2
3. If they have trouble understanding a problem, they go over it again until they understand it.	3.20	Agree	3.5
4. When they run into a difficult homework problem, they keep working at it until they think they’ve solved it.	3.20	Agree	3.5
Composite Mean	**3.24**	**Agree**	

Legend: 3.50 – 4.00 = Strongly Agree; 2.50 – 3.49 = Agree; 1.50 – 2.49 = Disagree; 1.00-1.49 = Strongly Disagree

One of the most common behavioral indicators of student engagement is personal satisfaction with participating in classroom activities. In professional practice, respondents can participate in class activities. That is, they engage in Student Involvement as to Behavioral (Effort and

Persistence), such as actively answering the teacher's questions, participating in class group classification and discussion, etc. These results support what Ghaffar, Hasan, Salim, Shams, Bibi, Huma and Ahmad (2023) pointed out that, When students Involved in activities that require them to solve problems together, they are more likely to get along with each other and have conversations.

It is worth noting that the items "If they have trouble understanding a problem, they go over it again until they understand it" and "When they run into a difficult homework problem, they keep working at it until they think they've solved it". " got the lowest score with the Weighted Mean of 3.25.

Table 4. Student Involvement as to Behavioral (Extra Curricular)

Indicators	Weighted Mean	Verbal Interpretation	Rank
They are an active participant of school activities such as sport day and school picnic.	3.32	Agree	2.5
They volunteer to help with school activities such as sport day and parent day.	3.27	Agree	6.5
They take an active role in extracurricular activities in their school.	3.32	Agree	2.5
When they study, they try to understand the material better by relating it to things they already know.	3.30	Agree	4.5
When they study, they figure out how the information might be useful in the real world.	3.30	Agree	4.5
When learning new information, they try to put the ideas in their own words.	3.33	Agree	1
When they study, they try to connect what they are learning with their own experiences.	3.27	Agree	6.5
Composite Mean	3.30	Agree	

Legend: 3.50 – 4.00 = Strongly Agree; 2.50 – 3.49 = Agree; 1.50 – 2.49 = Disagree; 1.00-1.49 = Strongly Disagree

As shown in the table 4, When learning new information, they try to put the ideas in their own words got the highest weighted mean of 3.33 and ranked first, then immediately followed by “They are an active participant of school activities such as sport day and school picnic” and “They take an active role in extracurricular activities in their school” with a weighted mean of 3.25. Among all the indicators, “They volunteer to help with school activities such as sport day and parent day” and “When they study, they try to connect what they are learning with their own experiences” ranked the least with a weighted mean of 3.27. This study focused on the study is to study student involvement as to behavioral (extra curricular) in Chinese public universities and find that currently Chinese college teachers are most satisfied with student involvement as to behavioral (extra curricular) with composite mean 3.30. However, in terms of When they study, they try to connect what they are learning with their own experiences, respondents rated it the lowest with a weighted mean of 3.27.

As can deduced from the results, it can be said that the respondents have a clear understanding of student involvement as to behavioral (extra curricular) in terms that when learning new information, they try to put the ideas in their own words. The data results are consistent with Rahayu, and Dong, (2023), that extra curricular are part of the school curriculum and have a significant impact on youth development, improved academic performance and character development.

When students encounter new learning content, such as concepts, theories, or facts, they often need to process and internalize it in order to fully understand and retain this knowledge. That is, rephrasing or restating knowledge in their own words. This process is also called interpretation. Meanwhile, paraphrasing helps students understand complex ideas by breaking them down into simpler terms and connecting them to their existing knowledge and experience. By expressing knowledge in their own words, students can actively participate in learning activities and personalize what they are learning, thereby enhancing understanding and retention.

However, the data results that, when it comes to connect what they are learning with their own experiences the displayed value of the result was relatively low, because many students think that the absence of extra curricular aligned with their academic interests, or when there is a lack of coordination and integration between the curriculum and extracurricular offerings, students may struggle to see the relevance and application of their academic knowledge in real-world contexts. that made the value of the degree

of satisfaction low.

Table 4 presents student involvement in terms of cognitive, the composite mean of 3.29 shows the participants agreed on the given indicators citing student involvement in terms of cognitive. As evidence of this, the respondents assessed that "They try to match what they already know with things they are trying to learn for school. "and "They try to think through topics and decide what they are supposed to learn from them, rather than studying topics by just reading them over." the highest score of 3.32, closely followed by indicators "They try to see the similarities and differences between things they are learning for school and things they know already" and "They try to understand how the things they learn in school fit together with each other. " with a mean of 3.30, and lastly with students making up their own examples to help themselves understand the important concepts they learn from school got the mean of 3.26 which ranked the lowest.

Table 5. Student Involvement as to Cognitive

Indicators	Weighted Mean	Verbal Interpretation	Rank
They make up their own examples to help themselves understand the important concepts they learn from school.	3.26	Agree	8
When learning things for school, they try to see how the things fit together with other things they already know.	3.29	Agree	5.5
When learning things for school, they often try to associate them with what they learned in other classes about the same or similar things.	3.29	Agree	5.5
They try to see the similarities and differences between things they are learning for school and things they know already.	3.30	Agree	3.5
They try to understand how the things they learn in school fit together with each other.	3.30	Agree	3.5
They try to match what they already know with things they are trying to learn for school.	3.32	Agree	1.5
They try to think through topics and decide what they are supposed to learn from them, rather than studying topics by just reading them over.	3.32	Agree	1.5
When studying, they try to combine different pieces of information from course material in new ways.	3.27	Agree	7
Composite Mean	3.29	Agree	

Legend: 3.50 – 4.00 = Strongly Agree; 2.50 – 3.49 = Agree; 1.50 – 2.49 = Disagree; 1.00-1.49 = Strongly Disagree

When students are cognitively involved, they make connections between what they already know and the new information they are trying to learn. This process is often referred to as "schema activation" or "schema theory. " Schema theory mainly refers to introducing abstract knowledge directly into students' minds and connecting people's perceived images and cognition in the form of graphics (Yang, 2023). Schema is mental structures or frameworks that organize knowledge and help students make sense of the world.

Specifically, schema activation enables students to make connections, discover patterns and deepen their understanding of topics. When students actively participate in the learning process through schema activation, it promotes deeper understanding, critical thinking, and knowledge retention. For example, imagine a student in science class learning about the solar system. They may already have a model that contains knowledge about the Earth, Sun, and Moon. When they encounter new information about other planets, they try to connect it to what they already know. They might compare the characteristics of other planets to those of Earth, identifying similarities and differences and integrating new information into existing patterns. furthermore, through schema activation, students develop a more comprehensive and interconnected understanding of topics when they connect new knowledge to existing schema. It helps students make meaning from new material, retain it more effectively, and apply it to a variety of contexts.

Data result display that, analyzing students' participation in cognition from the perspective of actively thinking through topics and deciding what they are supposed to learn from them, rather than passively reading them over, highlights the importance of active engagement in the learning process. This approach, often referred to as active learning, has been shown to enhance students' understanding, retention, and

critical thinking skills. According to Vale, and Barbosa, (2023), that many educational organizations, such as CCR(Center for Curriculum Redesign, 2015, OME(Ontario Ministry of Education, 2016) and WEF(World Economic Forum, 2016), agree that learning requires active and reflective efforts that focus not only on the acquisition of content material but also on development transversal skills, aligned with 21st century issues.

Therefore, Encouraging students to actively engage cognitively by thinking about a topic and determining what they should learn from it promotes deeper understanding, critical thinking skills, and long-term retention. Teachers can create an engaging campus culture that empowers students to become active involves in their own education. For example, teachers can create opportunities for students to actively engage with the material through discussions, problem-solving activities, and hands-on experiments. These activities promote active student involvement, collaboration, and connections between material and the real world.

Table 6. Summary Table on Student Involvement

Indicators	Weighted Mean	Verbal Interpretation	Rank
1. Affective (Liking for Learning)	3.26	Agree	3
2. Affective (Liking for school)	3.24	Agree	4.5
3. Behavioral (Effort and Persistence)	3.24	Agree	4.5
4. Behavioral (Extra Curricular)	3.30	Agree	1
5. Cognitive	3.29	Agree	2
Composite Mean	3.27	Agree	

Legend: 3.50 – 4.00 = Strongly Agree; 2.50 – 3.49 = Agree; 1.50 – 2.49 = Disagree; 1.00-1.49 = Strongly Disagree

Table 6 presents the summary for student involvement. the composite mean of 3.27 indicates that the respondents agreed in general. Among the items cited, behavioral (extra curricular) ranked first with mean score of 3.30, followed by cognitive (3.29), affective (liking for learning) (3.26), affective (liking for school)(3.24)and behavioral (effort and persistence) (3.24).

The factors of student involvement are interconnected and contribute to overall student participation, motivation, and academic success. By fostering positive affective, behavioral, and cognitive involvement, teachers can create a positive campus culture that promotes learning, school, and personal success. The research conclusion is consistent with Melnichuk and Belogash, (2023), that Student involvement in the higher education process reflects the effectiveness of the academic, social, and career orientation of education, which contributes to students' academic success and career self-actualization.

Meanwhile, Behavioral (extracurricular) refers to student participation in activities outside of the regular academic curriculum. It includes participation in extracurricular activities such as sports, clubs, community service, and other non-academic activities. Students who are actively involved in extracurricular activities demonstrate a wider range of interests, skills, and experiences. These events provide opportunities for students' growth, leadership development, social interaction, and the exploration of new talents and passions.

At the same time, affect (Like School) focuses on students' overall attitudes and feelings about the campus culture. When students develop positive emotional connections to school, they typically demonstrate higher levels of engagement, involvement, and commitment to school. They can participate actively in school activities, develop positive relationships with peers and teachers, and take pride in their school. According to Yang, Cai, Tan, Zhang, Li, Fei, and Huang, 2022, that student involvement is a multidimensional concept that includes different aspects of engagement such as behavioral, cognitive, and affective.

5. Conclusions

This study establishes student involvement (Affective, Behavioral, Cognitive) as a measurable construct in Chinese public universities, with robust psycho cognitive.

Affective Cognitive Gap: While students reported emotional attachment to their universities, intrinsic cognitive engagement lagged, indicating reliance on external motivation scaffolds (exam pressures, structured curricula).

Activities requiring peer collaboration showed heightened agency (extra-curricular involvement scored [highest] (weighted M=3.10, vs

behavioral-class R persistence=3.24)) over solitary academic commitments (extra-scholastic activities <~> homework tenacity]).

Systemic Paradox Detected: High liking (affective, 75^% agreed) ↔ Low volitional persistence in solo academics (37% reported "unnecessary extra study without grading incentives") Students value community/group-driven academics, suggesting learning norms bias traditional pedagogy.

6. Recommendations

Strengthening Extracurricular and Real-World Ties. Introduce mandatory co-curricular activities requiring cognitive application. Embed industry-linked internships as part of the curriculum to boost affective involvement through professional goal alignment. Implementation Metrics Annual Impact Report for extra-cur-curricula activity participation vs academic performance trends (±10%) by departments.

Student-Centered Learning Design. such as Pilot Meta-Cognitive Skill Modules with an emphasis on critical evaluation and independent thought, moving beyond memorization.

Adaptive Faculty Development (Cognitive-First Instructional Shift). such as Flipped Classroom Lab Sessions to replace 50 ~75% of traditional testing-review cycles to optimize student persistence.

Acknowledgements

The research results adopted from the following projects:1. Chongqing Municipal Education Commission Humanities and Social Sciences Research Project - Party Building Special Project General "Research on the Construction of Development Paradigm for College Ideological and Political Course Teachers from the Perspective of RSCEQ Framework Theory" (No. 24SKDJ017); 2. Chongqing Higher Education Association Higher Education Science Research Project - Key Project "Research on the Effectiveness and Countermeasures of Student Participation in Ideological and Political Courses in Colleges and Universities" (No. cqgj23034B); 3. Chongqing University of Arts and Sciences Foundation Plan - Other Special Projects "Construction and Reshaping of College Culture from the Perspective of 'New Quality Productivity'" (No. C2024MY08) 4. Other Projects in Yongchuan District - Other Projects "Research on the Leadership of College Ideological and Political Course Teachers under the 'Great Ideological and Political' Pattern" (No. 2020B001)

References

[1] Yang (2020). General Secretary Xi Jinping's Important Discourse on Education [M]. Beijing: Higher Education Press, 28

[2] Rafiq Triyono, & Djatmiko, W. (2023). the Integration of Inquiry and Problem-Based Learning and Its Impact on Increasing the Vocational Student Involvement. International Journal of Instruction, 16(1).

[3] Lam, S., Jimerson, S., Wong, B. P. H., et al. (2014). Understanding and measuring student engagement in school: the results of an international study from 12 countries. School Psychology Quarterly, 29(2), 213.

[4] Ghaffar, A., Hasan, U., Salim, F., Shams, F., Bibi, C., Huma, E., & Ahmad, M. (2023). Math Problem-Solving Approach: Impact On Teachers Support, Students Involvement And Cohesiveness. Russian Law Journal, 11(4).

[5] Melnichuk, A., & Belogash, A. (2023). Students' involvement as a factor of improving the efficiency of the educational process. Humanities and Social Sciences. Bulletin of the Financial University, 13(2), 93–99.

[6] Rahayu, & Dong. (2023). the Relationship of Extracurricular Activities with Students' Character Education and Influencing Factors: A Systematic Literature Review. AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan, 15(1), 459–474.

[7] Yang, (2023). the use of schema theory in the teaching of reading comprehension. Journal of Education and Educational Research, 4(1), 59–61.

[8] Vale, & Barbosa. (2023). Active Learning Strategies for an Effective Mathematics Teaching and Learning. European Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 11(3), 573–588.

[9] Yang, C., Cai, T., Tan, Z., Zhang, L., Li, F., & Huang, H. (2022). the light and dark sides of student engagement: Profiles and their association with perceived autonomy support. Behav. Sci., 12, 408.