Academic Education Publishing House

*Philosophy and Social Science Vol. 2 No. 6, 2025*

# Threshold Bridge: Symbolic Rituals and the Poetics of Children's Growth in *Bridge to Terabithia*

**Yidan Zhou**

*School of English Language and Culture, Xiamen University Tan Kah Kee College, Zhangzhou, Fujian, China*

**Abstract: Katherine Paterson's Bridge to Terabithia constructs a transformative threshold ritual through a cluster of "bridge" imagery, mediating children's transition from real-world trauma to psychological maturity. This paper integrates Arnold Van Gennep's "rites of passage" theory with Jung's archetypal criticism to dissect the triple symbolic dimensions of the physical, imaginary, and spiritual bridges. The physical bridge—from fragile rope swing to shattered barrier—functions as a traumatic trigger, marking the violent rupture of innocence. The imaginary bridge, manifest in Terabithia's utopian forest, operates as a liminal space where Jesse and Leslie rehearse symbolic power, negotiating childhood anxieties through ritualized play. The spiritual bridge, culminating in Jesse's reconstruction of both the literal and metaphorical crossing, embodies the integration of grief into a coherent sense of self. By examining how these bridges intersect to navigate loss, the study reveals how children's literature transcends life's dilemmas through spatial poetics, offering a nuanced model of growth that honors the messiness of trauma while affirming the resilience of the child subject.**

**Keywords: Threshold Space; Symbolic Ritual; Trauma Narrative; Children's Subjectivity**

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Research Background

Children's literature has long grappled with the inclusion of death as a narrative element, sparking ongoing ethical debates about its appropriateness for young readers. Traditional perspectives often advocated for shielding children from mortality, framing death as a taboo too weighty to confront in literature aimed at innocence. However, works like *Bridge to Terabithia* challenge this notion by embedding death within a coming-of-age story, forcing a reconsideration of how children process loss and existential themes. Written by Katherine Paterson and published in 1977, *Bridge to Terabithia* ignited discussions precisely because it refuses to sanitize Leslie's accidental death: Jesse's raw grief—his anger, guilt, and slow acceptance—presents a rare authenticity that some critics praised as emotionally honest, while others criticized as potentially traumatizing for its target audience.

This controversy reflects broader shifts in children's literature's approach to death. Early 20th-century tales often relegated death to allegorical or euphemistic forms (e.g., *Charlotte's Web*, where Wilbur's grief is softened by Charlotte's "legacy"). In contrast, late 20th-century works like *Bridge to Terabithia* (for older readers) began to depict death as an integral part of growth, arguing that confronting loss helps children develop emotional resilience. Paterson, drawing from her own experience of losing a child, positions Leslie's death not as a gratuitous tragedy but as a catalyst for Jesse's maturation—a narrative choice that raises critical questions: How does literature balance honesty about mortality with respect for a child's cognitive and emotional limits? *Bridge to Terabithia*'s enduring relevance lies in its refusal to provide easy answers, instead modeling the messy, non-linear process of grieving.

Parallel to this, the theme of growth in children's literature has evolved from linear "triumph over adversity" narratives to more complex explorations of growth through loss. Traditional coming-of-age stories often followed a trajectory of self-discovery through external achievements (e.g., defeating a villain, gaining social acceptance). In *Bridge to Terabithia*, however, growth is rooted in Jesse's confrontation with absence: Leslie's death shatters his illusion of invulnerability, forcing

him to reconcile the coexistence of joy and pain. This shift mirrors broader cultural recognition that maturity emerges not just from success, but from learning to navigate grief, guilt, and the impermanence of relationships. Jesse's journey—from relying on fantasy as a refuge to integrating loss into his understanding of self—exemplifies this evolved growth theme, making the novel a pivotal text for examining how children's literature mediates the complexities of human experience.

### 1.2 Literature Review

Scholarship on *Bridge to Terabithia* has predominantly focused on its portrayal of friendship, the interplay between fantasy and reality, and its status as a coming-of-age story. However, critical engagement with its symbolic system remains fragmented, with significant gaps in both Chinese and international research.

Internationally, studies have touched on individual symbols but lack systemic analysis. Many studies explore the "bridge" as a metaphor for connection but overlooks its evolution from a fragile plank to a deliberate construction, missing its link to Jesse's agency. Others situate Terabithia within the tradition of literary utopias but fails to unpack how the "kingdom" functions as a microcosm of Jesse's psychological development. Research on the forest, meanwhile, tends to frame it as a static setting rather than a dynamic space that mirrors Jesse's emotional states—from a place of wonder to one of trauma and eventual healing.

Domestic scholarship, though limited, often emphasizes thematic interpretation over symbolic depth. Translations and critical essays highlight the novel's message of resilience but rarely analyze how symbols like the "dark master" or the "squirrel" operate as projections of Jesse's internal fears. Moreover, cross-cultural comparative studies are scarce, leaving unexamined how Terabithia's symbolism resonates differently in contexts where childhood experiences of nature and imagination vary.

A key shortcoming across both contexts is the lack of interdisciplinary frameworks. Existing research rarely integrates psychology or anthropology, missing opportunities to connect symbols to universal developmental stages or ritualistic patterns. This gap underscores the need for a holistic analysis that situates the novel's symbolic system within broader theories of human cognition and cultural symbolism.

### 1.3 Theoretical Framework

To address these gaps, this study employs two complementary theoretical lenses: Arnold van Gennep's "rites of passage" and Carl Jung's theory of the collective unconscious.

Van Gennep's model, outlined in *The Rites of Passage*, posits that all transitional moments in life—birth, coming of age, death—involve three stages: separation, liminality, and incorporation [1]. This framework illuminates Jesse's journey through loss. The "separation" stage occurs when Jesse withdraws from his oppressive reality into Terabithia, physically and psychologically distancing himself from familial neglect and schoolyard bullying. The "liminal" phase unfolds within Terabithia itself, a space "betwixt and between" reality and fantasy where Jesse and Leslie step outside from societal roles, redefining themselves as king and queen. Here, they are neither children nor adults, neither powerless nor omnipotent—a liminality that allows for psychological experimentation. Finally, "incorporation" takes place after Leslie's death, as Jesse constructs a new bridge, symbolically integrating his grief into his identity and reentering the world with renewed purpose.

Jung's theory of the collective unconscious provides a deeper layer by explaining the universal resonance of the novel's symbols. Jung argues that certain archetypes—patterns or images inherited from humanity's collective experience—reside in the unconscious, shaping how we perceive and respond to symbols [2]. In *Bridge to Terabithia*, the "bridge" functions as an archetype of transition, echoing cross-cultural myths of thresholds between worlds.

Notably, Jung's concept of the "shadow" clarifies symbols of conflict: the "dark master" and school bully Janice Avery represent Jesse's repressed fears of inadequacy, which he must confront to achieve wholeness. Leslie, with her fearlessness and creativity, embodies the "anima" archetype—Jesse's feminine, imaginative side that he initially suppresses but ultimately integrates.

Together, these theories provide a framework to analyze how *Bridge to Terabithia*'s symbols transcend individual experience, resonating with universal patterns of growth and psychological integration. They also highlight the novel's

unique contribution: using symbolism to make abstract concepts like loss and identity accessible to young readers, without simplifying their complexity.

## 2. The Physical Bridge

Katherine Paterson's *Bridge to Terabithia* stands as a landmark in children's literature, not only for its tender portrayal of childhood friendship but also for its unflinching exploration of trauma and loss. At the heart of the narrative lies a deceptively simple physical structure: first a frayed rope swing, then a broken threshold, and finally a purpose-built bridge. This physical bridge serves as more than a plot device; it is a threshold that mediates between safety and danger, innocence and experience, life and death. For Jesse Aarons, the protagonist, the rope swing and its catastrophic failure become the trigger for a traumatic threshold experience that reshapes his understanding of the world. This essay examines how the rope swing, its violent breakage, and Jesse's visceral reaction to the trauma amplify the threshold's power as a catalyst for psychological upheaval, drawing on close textual analysis and the novel's vivid depictions of physical and emotional rupture.

### 2.1 Rope Swing

Before tragedy strikes, the rope swing across the creek functions as a ritualized portal to Terabithia, but its very design carries the seeds of danger. Paterson introduces the swing as a rickety, improvised structure: "a rope hung from a branch high above the creek, a rope that had been there for as long as anyone could remember" [3]. Its age and neglect are deliberate details; the rope is not a secure bridge but a relic of rural childhood, frayed by time and use, "worn thin in spots" [3]. For Jesse and Leslie, this imperfection is part of its allure. Swinging across the creek becomes a test of courage—a dangerous game that separates them from the mundane world of Lark Creek Elementary and their unhappy homes.

This pre-threshold stage is marked by a paradox: the swing is both a source of freedom and a site of implicit discipline. To enter Terabithia, Jesse and Leslie must first confront the risk of falling. The act of swinging requires physical control—gripping the rope tightly, judging the arc, leaping onto the muddy bank—and failure is met with consequences. Early in the novel, Jesse describes the "sting of embarrassment" [3]when he misses the landing and splashes into the creek, while Leslie, ever bold, taunts him: "Scared you'd fall, farm boy?" [3]. These moments frame the swing as a space where physical discipline is internalized; the children learn to master fear through repetition, normalizing danger as part of their daily ritual.

This normalization of risk is intertwined with the harsh physicality of Jesse's world. At home, his father's discipline is rooted in physicality—"a quick slap on the back of the head" [3]for minor infractions—and at school, bullying is a constant threat, embodied by Janice Avery's "fists and fury" [3]. The rope swing, in this context, becomes a perverse extension of these structures: a space where Jesse and Leslie reclaim control over danger, turning it into a game they can "win" by crossing safely. As Leslie declares, "We're the rulers of Terabithia. We don't get scared" [3], but their bravado masks the swing's inherent instability. The pre-threshold stage thus conditions them to accept risk as a price for escape, making the eventual trauma more devastating—for they have learned to trust the rope, even as it frays.

The swing also serves as a mirror for their emotional states. Jesse, crippled by self-doubt, initially hesitates to swing, his "knees shaking" [3], while Leslie, confident and rebellious, leaps without fear. Over time, the swing becomes a tool for Jesse's tentative growth; with Leslie's encouragement, he learns to "lean back, let the rope carry him" [3], a metaphor for his growing trust in their friendship. But this growth is predicated on denial: neither child acknowledges the swing's fragility. They paint it as a "magic rope" [3]in their games, a fiction that disguises its material decay. This denial is critical to the pre-threshold stage, as it creates a false sense of security—one that will shatter when the rope finally breaks.

### 2.2 The Moment of Breakage

Leslie's death is not just a tragedy; it is a violent interruption of the separation ritual that defined her and Jesse's relationship with Terabithia. In Arnold van Gennep's framework of "rites of passage," the separation stage involves a deliberate departure from the familiar, a movement into a liminal space where normal rules do not apply [1]. For Jesse and Leslie, the rope swing facilitated this separation: crossing the creek meant leaving behind their roles as "the quiet boy" and "the new girl" to become

"king and queen" [3] of Terabithia. The swing, in this sense, was a ritual object, mediating their transition into a world where they felt powerful.

Leslie's final crossing shatters this ritual. On the day of her death, the creek is swollen by rain, making the swing more dangerous than usual, but she goes anyway—alone, because Jesse has abandoned their routine to visit the art museum with Miss Edmunds. Paterson emphasizes the solitude of her act: "No one saw her go. No one heard her call" [3]. This isolation amplifies the violence of the breakage; there is no one to witness the ritual's collapse, no one to intervene. When the rope snaps, it does more than kill Leslie—it severs the very logic of Terabithia: the idea that Jesse and Leslie could control danger through their shared courage.

The breakage also represents a rupture in the "discipline" of the pre-threshold stage. Previously, the swing's risks were manageable, even exhilarating, because they were part of a system the children understood. As Jesse reflects, "They'd fallen a hundred times, but always into the mud, laughing" [3]. Leslie's death, however, introduces a form of violence that cannot be normalized—a discipline of chance, where no amount of courage or skill can prevent catastrophe. This is trauma's defining feature: it is not just painful, but unpredictable, shattering the victim's sense of a predictable world [4]. For Jesse, the rope's failure becomes a symbol of this unpredictability, turning the threshold from a space of empowerment into one of terror.

### 2.3 The Intensified Threshold Experience

Paterson's description of the rope snapping is a masterclass in conveying traumatic suddenness, and Jesse's physiological response underscores how this abruptness transforms the threshold into a site of psychological crisis. The novel does not depict Leslie's death directly; instead, it focuses on Jesse's discovery of the aftermath, creating a narrative delay that mirrors his own denial. When he returns from the museum, he finds the creek "wild and brown," and near the bank, "the rope was gone" [3]. The absence of the rope is the first clue, but it is not until his mother says, "Leslie's dead, Jesse," [3]that the horror sinks in.

Paterson then shifts to Jesse's bodily reaction: "His heart stopped. He couldn't breathe. It was as if someone had hit him in the stomach with a rock" [3]. This visceral detail—"his heart stopped"—is critical. It is not metaphorical but a physiological response to overwhelming trauma: a momentary suspension of life, mirroring the rope's sudden failure. As trauma theorists note, extreme stress can trigger such reactions, as the body shuts down to protect itself from unbearable pain [5]. For Jesse, this physical freeze amplifies the threshold experience: he is caught between the joy of the museum trip and the horror of Leslie's death, between the old world where she existed and the new world where she does not.

The novel's earlier description of the rope—"worn thin, but still strong enough, they thought" [3]—heightens the impact of its snapping. The phrase "they thought" reveals the children's naive faith in their ability to judge risk, making the breakage feel not just sudden, but betrayal. When Jesse later imagines the moment, he fixates on this betrayal: "The rope suddenly snapping, no warning, just a sharp crack and she was gone" [3]. The word "suddenly" is repeated three times in his internal monologue, emphasizing how the trauma resists processing; it cannot be slowed down or understood, only relived in fragments.

This fragmentation is reflected in Jesse's subsequent behavior. He runs to the creek, "stumbling over roots, his eyes blurred by tears," and finds "a frayed end of rope hanging from the branch" [3]. The sight of the broken rope becomes a trigger, transporting him back to the threshold moment, but he cannot make sense of it. As he touches the frayed end, "his fingers trembled," and he whispers, "Why?"[3]—a question that has no answer. This inability to process the event is characteristic of traumatic memory, which remains unassimilated, stuck in the body as physical sensation rather than narrative [5]. For Jesse, the rope's remains are a physical reminder of this stuckness, keeping the threshold experience alive as a cycle of grief and confusion.

In the end, Jesse's decision to build a new bridge—"a real bridge, with boards and nails" [3]—is not just an act of healing, but an acknowledgment of the threshold's transformed meaning. This new bridge does not deny trauma; it incorporates it, replacing the frayed rope's false promises of control with a structure that honors Leslie's memory while accepting life's fragility. As such, the physical bridge remains a threshold, but now it leads not just to Terabithia, but to a more mature understanding of loss—a

testament to trauma's power to reshape even the most cherished spaces.

## 3. The Imaginary Bridge

*Bridge to Terabithia* transcends the boundaries of children's literature by crafting Terabithia not merely as a fictional forest but as a meticulously constructed utopian space—one that mediates between reality and imagination, vulnerability and empowerment. This "imaginary bridge" to Terabithia is a dynamic realm where Jesse Aarons and Leslie Burke negotiate identity, power, and loss through shared creation. As literary scholar Maria Nikolajeva notes, children's literature often uses "secondary worlds" to mirror and reframe the primary world, allowing young protagonists to rehearse emotional and social growth [6]. Terabithia embodies this function: its topology as an "intermediate realm," its ritualized props of power, and its evolving ceremonies collectively produce a utopia that adapts to the children's needs—from a refuge of equality to a site of healing and legacy. This essay expands on these dimensions, analyzing how Terabithia's production as a utopian space reflects the shifting dynamics of Jesse and Leslie's relationship, and later Jesse's confrontation with loss.

### 3.1 Topology of Terabithia

Terabithia's spatial logic hinges on its status as a liminal zone—a threshold between the "real" world of Lark Creek and the boundlessness of imagination. Paterson meticulously maps this topology to reinforce its role as an "intermediate realm," in line with Gaston Bachelard's theory of "poetic space," where physical locations become vessels for emotional and psychological states [7].

Geographically, Terabithia is nestled in a forest "just beyond the cow pasture, where the creek cut through the woods" [3]. This placement is deliberate: it is far enough from Jesse's home and school to feel removed from mundane constraints but close enough to remain accessible—a balance that makes it a "safe risk," as child psychologist David Elkind might describe it, where children can explore without full separation from security [8]. The creek itself functions as a natural boundary, its waters rising and falling with the seasons, mirroring the ebb and flow of Jesse and Leslie's emotional investment. In spring, when their friendship blooms, the creek is "shallow enough to wade" [3]; in winter, it becomes a "silver ribbon" [3], a frozen barrier that heightens Terabithia's exclusivity.

The forest's internal layout further reinforces its liminality. At its heart is their "throne room," a clearing surrounded by "tall pines that rustled like old kings whispering secrets" [3]. This space is both wild and curated: they clear debris to make way for their "court," hang lanterns from branches, and carve their initials into a tree—marking nature as both raw material and collaborator. Bachelard argues that such domesticated wildness is central to intimate spaces, where the familiar and strange coexist [7], and Terabithia exemplifies this: it is a forest, but their forest, shaped by their shared vision.

Isolation is critical to its utopian function. Accessible only by the rope swing—a precarious, child-sized threshold—Terabithia excludes adults and peers alike. When Jesse's mother asks where he goes, he replies, "Nowhere," a lie that protects the space's sanctity. This exclusivity allows Jesse and Leslie to invert the power dynamics of their daily lives: at school, Jesse is a "nobody" and Leslie an outsider; in Terabithia, they are "king and queen." As Nikolajeva observes, such spaces "enable children to reclaim agency in a world where adults hold most power" [6], and Terabithia's topology—remote yet reachable, wild yet tamed—makes this reclamation possible.

### 3.2 Symbolism of Power

The utopian order of Terabithia is sustained by ritualized props that materialize abstract power, transforming play into a system of governance. These objects—crowns, swords, magic wands—are not mere toys but symbolic capital that legitimizes their authority and structures their interactions [9].

Crowns, the most visible of these props, are crafted from "dried sunflowers and wheat stalks" [3], linking their power to the land itself. When Leslie places a crown on Jesse's head and declares, "All hail King Jesse," [3] she performs a ritual of investiture that transcends make-believe. In the real world, Jesse is starved for recognition: his father dismisses his art, and his sisters overshadow him. But in Terabithia, the crown is a visible sign of dignity, as anthropologist Victor Turner notes of ritual regalia, that rewrites his social identity [10]. For

Leslie, her crown—adorned with "blue jay feathers" [3]—symbolizes her rejection of conformity; unlike the girls at school who "fussed with ribbons," [3] her crown declares her allegiance to imagination over convention.

Swords, fashioned from "oak branches sharpened at one end" [3], embody their collective defense against "the Dark Master"—a nebulous threat that symbolizes their real fears: school bullies like Janice Avery, Jesse's father's coldness, Leslie's loneliness as a new kid. When they "charge" at imaginary foes, swords raised, they are rehearsing resistance. One pivotal scene finds them "defeating" Janice Avery in Terabithia after she bullies May Belle; Jesse "swings his sword" and Leslie "casts a spell," and afterward, Jesse feels "braver than he ever had" [3]. This act converts symbolic power into real psychological capital: the sword, as a "materialized metaphor" of courage, empowers Jesse to stand up to Janice in reality days later.

Magic wands, made from "willow branches with silk scarves tied to the ends" [3], represent a different form of power—creativity and transformation. Leslie, who wields the wand most often, uses it to "turn" thorns into "jewels" and storm clouds into "dragons to ride" [3]. This aligns with Jungian theory, where the wand symbolizes the "anima" that Jesse, repressed by his masculine environment, needs to integrate [3]. Through the wand, Leslie models a way of engaging with the world that is not combative but generative—a lesson Jesse later internalizes when he rebuilds the bridge for May Belle.

### 3.3 Case Comparison

The evolution of Terabithia's utopian space is most visible in two pivotal rituals: Jesse's coronation upon first entering Terabithia, and his initiation of May Belle after Leslie's death. These ceremonies, analyzed through Arnold van Gennep's "rites of passage" framework—separation, liminality, incorporation—reveal how spatial power relations shift from equality to stewardship, mirroring Jesse's emotional growth [1].

Jesse's coronation, occurring shortly after he and Leslie discover the forest, is a ceremony of mutual empowerment. The "separation" phase begins when they cross the rope swing, leaving behind Lark Creek's hierarchies. In the "liminal" space of the clearing, Leslie declares, "This is our kingdom… and you're the king" [3]. The "incorporation" happens as Jesse accepts the crown, and Leslie crowns herself queen. Crucially, this is a horizontal power dynamic: they are "co-rulers," as evidenced by their dialogue—"What should we do first, Your Majesty?" "Whatever you want, Your Highness" [3]. Turner describes such liminal moments as communitas, where social hierarchies dissolve [10] and here, Jesse and Leslie's equality is radical: a boy from a poor farm family and a city girl with funny clothes stand as equals, their bond transcending class and gender norms.

Leslie's death shatters this communitas, and Jesse's initiation of May Belle becomes a ritual of transgenerational stewardship. Van Gennep's stages reemerge, but with Jesse now as the guide. The "separation" occurs when he leads May Belle to the new wooden bridge he built, replacing the broken rope swing—a deliberate act of "making a safe threshold," as he tells her, "no more falling"[3]. In the "liminal" clearing, now quiet without Leslie's laughter, Jesse performs the "incorporation" by placing a "daisy chain crown" on May Belle's head and saying, "You're the princess now" [3].

The power dynamic here is vertical but nurturing. Jesse, once the initiated, is now the initiator—passing down Terabithia's myths, rules, and magic. When May Belle asks, "Does Leslie know?" Jesse replies, "She'd want us to keep it going" [3], framing the initiation as an act of legacy. This mirrors psychologist Erik Erikson's concept of "generativity," where adults (or, in this case, a maturing child) preserve values by passing them to the next generation [11]. Terabithia, once a space of shared creation, becomes a space of transmission, its utopian function evolving from escape to continuity.

As Nikolajeva argues, the best children's literature "does not shield children from pain but gives them tools to process it" [6], and Terabithia is such a tool. It begins as a refuge from reality but ends as a bridge to reality: Jesse, by sharing it with May Belle, learns that utopia is not a static escape but a living, evolving space—one that survives through connection. In this way, Paterson's novel reminds us that the most powerful imaginary bridges are those we build not to flee the world, but to carry its lessons forward.

## 4. The Spiritual Bridge

In *Bridge to Terabithia*, the concept of a "bridge" transcends physical structure to become a

metaphor for psychological transformation. While the rope swing and wooden bridge serve as literal thresholds, the "spiritual bridge" represents Jesse Aarons' journey from a passive, insecure boy to a self-aware, compassionate individual. This essay explores how Jesse's construction of a physical bridge, his engagement with art as therapy, and Paterson's reimagining of redemption narratives collectively facilitate the rebirth of his subjectivity. Through these lenses, we see that spiritual rebirth is not a sudden epiphany but a gradual process of integrating loss, embracing agency, and redefining meaning—ultimately, a bridge from fragmentation to wholeness.

### 4.1 Bridge Construction

Jesse's decision to build a wooden bridge across the creek after Leslie's death is far more than a practical act; it is a ritual of role transition, marking his evolution from "the protected" to "the protector." This transformation, rooted in Arnold van Gennep's "rites of passage" framework, unfolds in three stages: separation from his old identity, a liminal period of grief and uncertainty, and incorporation into his new role as a steward of Terabithia and a guardian of May Belle [1].

Before Leslie's death, Jesse exists in a state of emotional dependency. Leslie, with her fearlessness and imagination, is the driving force behind Terabithia, often guiding Jesse through their adventures. She calls him "King Jesse," but he relies on her to define their kingdom's rules and confront its "enemies". He is, in essence, "the protected"—shielded by Leslie's confidence from his own insecurities. This dynamic is shattered when Leslie dies, catapulting Jesse into the "separation" phase: he is forced to confront a world without her, a void that strips away his familiar sense of self.

The liminal phase is marked by profound grief and disorientation. Jesse initially rejects Terabithia, unable to bear the reminder of Leslie's absence. He stops drawing, avoids the creek, and withdraws into silence—symptoms of a fragmented subjectivity. According to van Gennep, liminality is a state of ambiguity, where old roles are discarded but new ones not yet assumed [1]. For Jesse, this ambiguity is physical as well as emotional: the broken rope swing, once a symbol of connection, becomes a reminder of loss.

The incorporation phase begins when Jesse decides to build a bridge. "I'm gonna build a real bridge," he tells his father, who responds with rare approval: "Need any help?"[3]. The act of construction is deliberate and methodical: he measures the creek, selects lumber, and hammers nails with focus. Each swing of the hammer is a step toward reclaiming agency. When the bridge is finished, he leads May Belle across it, declaring, "This is Terabithia…and you're the princess" [3]. In this moment, Jesse completes his transition: he is no longer the protected, but the protector—guiding May Belle as Leslie once guided him, and preserving Terabithia through active stewardship.

This role shift redefines Jesse's subjectivity. He no longer derives self-worth from others' validation but from his capacity to care for and empower someone else. As psychologist Erik Erikson argues, healthy identity formation in adolescence involves "taking on responsibility for others," a milestone Jesse achieves through the bridge [12]. The bridge, both physical and spiritual, becomes a symbol of this rebirth: it spans the creek, just as Jesse spans the divide between grief and resilience.

### 4.2 Art Therapy

Jesse's engagement with art—specifically painting and woodworking—functions as a form of "mandala," in Carl Jung's terms, facilitating the integration of trauma and the reconstruction of his shattered self. Jung defines a mandala as a "symbol of the self," a circular image that represents wholeness and helps individuals process psychological fragmentation[13]. For Jesse, art becomes a nonverbal language through which he articulates grief, reclaims memory, and forges a new sense of continuity.

Painting is Jesse's first form of artistic expression, a source of solace long before Terabithia. In the novel's opening, he hides his sketches under his mattress, ashamed to share them with a father who values "practical" skills over creativity. Leslie is the first to recognize his talent: "You're a good artist, Jesse Aarons," she says, flipping through his sketchbook [3]. This validation makes art a cornerstone of their bond; he draws "maps of Terabithia" and portraits of their imaginary foes, using color and line to materialize their shared world.

After Leslie's death, Jesse stops painting—a silence that mirrors his emotional paralysis. But as he begins to heal, art reemerges as a tool of integration. He sketches Leslie's face from

memory, "her eyes bright and laughing," [3] a act that allows him to confront his loss without words. Jung notes that such "creative recall" transforms trauma from an overwhelming event into a manageable memory, as the artist "contains" the pain within a structured form [13]. For Jesse, each stroke of the pencil is a step toward accepting Leslie's absence: he cannot bring her back, but he can preserve her essence in art.

Woodworking—the building of the bridge—extends this therapeutic process. Unlike painting, which is introspective, carpentry is a physical, external act, requiring focus and precision. As he measures, cuts, and hammers, Jesse channels his grief into creation. Psychologist Edith Kramer argues that "hands-on creation" in trauma recovery helps individuals reestablish a sense of control over their bodies and environments, counteracting the helplessness of trauma [14]. Jesse's bridge, with its sturdy planks and secure nails, stands in deliberate contrast to the fragile rope swing that failed Leslie; it is a tangible assertion that he can now protect what matters.

Together, painting and woodworking form a "mandala of integration." The sketchbook captures the emotional complexity of loss, while the bridge embodies actionable hope. Jesse's art is not escapist but transformative: it allows him to hold both grief and resilience, past and future, in balance—essential for the rebirth of his subjectivity.

### 4.3 Reconstructing Redemption Narratives

Paterson's portrayal of spiritual rebirth gains depth when contrasted with C.S. Lewis' *The Chronicles of Narnia*, particularly the "Stone Table" sacrifice in *The Lion, the Witch and the Wardrobe*. By juxtaposing these texts, we see how Paterson deconstructs traditional Christian redemption narratives—centered on divine sacrifice and external salvation—and reconstructs them around human resilience and internal growth.

In Narnia, the Stone Table ritual is a direct allegory of Christian atonement. Aslan, the Christ figure, allows himself to be killed on the table by the White Witch, sacrificing his life to save Edmund. His death is framed as redemptive: "When a willing victim who has committed no treachery is killed in a traitor's stead, the Stone Table will crack, and even death itself will turn backwards" [15]. True to this prophecy, Aslan is resurrected, and his sacrifice breaks the Witch's power, restoring Narnia. Redemption here is external: it comes from a divine being's selflessness, not human agency.

Paterson subverts this framework in *Bridge to Terabithia*. Leslie's death is not a sacrifice, nor is it redemptive in a supernatural sense. There is no stone table, no resurrection, no divine intervention. Her accident is a random tragedy—a "rope suddenly snapping" [3]—and Jesse's healing does not come from a higher power but from within. This deconstruction of Christian redemption is deliberate: Paterson, herself a Christian, once noted that she wanted to "honor the reality of loss" without resorting to easy theological answers [16].

Instead of divine sacrifice, Paterson offers a reconstructed narrative of redemption through human connection. Jesse's rebirth is not triggered by Leslie's death but by his decision to carry on their shared legacy—by building the bridge, inviting May Belle to Terabithia, and integrating Leslie's courage into his own identity. As he tells May Belle, "Leslie would've wanted us to keep Terabithia going" [3], framing redemption as a choice to honor the dead through living fully. This mirrors psychologist Viktor Frankl's observation that trauma is transcended not by external salvation but by "finding meaning in suffering"—for Jesse, meaning lies in preserving love and imagination [17].

Paterson's reconstruction also redefines "resurrection." In Narnia, resurrection is literal; in *Bridge to Terabithia*, it is metaphorical: Leslie "lives" in Jesse's memories, in Terabithia, and in the courage he now embodies. When Jesse paints her portrait, he does not seek to bring her back but to carry her with him—a form of resurrection rooted in human psychology, not theology. This shift empowers Jesse: his salvation is not passive but active, a testament to the resilience of the human spirit.

Jesse's journey teaches us that spiritual rebirth is not about erasing pain but about carrying it with purpose. The bridge he builds—both literal and spiritual—does not deny Leslie's death; it honors it, transforming loss into a catalyst for empathy and courage. In this way, Bridge to Terabithia reminds us that the most profound bridges are those we build within ourselves: from brokenness to wholeness, from passivity to agency, from surviving to thriving.

## 5. Conclusion

The literary study of *Bridge to Terabithia* reveals that symbolic rituals—from the rope swing's threshold crossings to the construction of the wooden bridge—possess a profound poetic function: they transform Jesse's individual trauma into a collective memory that transcends personal grief. These rituals, rooted in shared imagination and embodied practice, elevate private pain into a universal narrative of loss and resilience. The rope's snap, once a violent rupture, becomes a metaphor for the fragility of connection; the new bridge, built with care and intention, emerges as a symbol of communal healing. By embedding trauma within these ritualized acts, Paterson ensures that Jesse's journey is not isolated but resonates with readers, who can recognize in his struggle the universal experience of navigating loss.

Moreover, this analysis carries significant implications for understanding how children's literature can cultivate post-traumatic growth (PTG) through spatial narratives. Terabithia, as a dynamic spatial construct, models a pathway for young readers to process trauma: it begins as a refuge from pain, evolves into a site of confrontation with loss, and ultimately transforms into a space of renewal. Jesse's engagement with this space demonstrates how spatial narratives can guide children to reestablish agency, reframe tragedy, and develop resilience. Unlike didactic tales that moralize about overcoming trauma, *Bridge to Terabithia* uses Terabithia's evolving geography to show PTG as a lived, embodied process: Jesse heals not through forgetting, but by integrating his memories of Leslie into the fabric of his new reality. This suggests that children's literature, through careful attention to spatial dynamics, can serve as a narrative guide for young readers, helping them navigate their own experiences of loss by providing tangible, imaginative spaces to rehearse healing. In doing so, Paterson's novel reminds us that the most powerful spiritual bridges are not those that erase trauma, but those that honor it as a vital part of growth—proving children's literature's unique capacity to nurture not just resilience, but the courage to grow through pain.

## References

[1] Thomas O'Loughlin. "Rites of Passage" and the Writing of Church History: Reflections upon our Craft in the Aftermath of van Gennep. Studies in Church History, 2023, 3:59.

[2] Lkem Karaka and M. Karaaziz. Carl Gustav Jung's Concept of Collective Unconscious and Archetype. International Scientific Journal Vision, 2024, 9(2).

[3] Katherine Paterson. Bridge to Terabithia. HarperCollins Inc., 1977.

[4] Ritchie J. Rubio. Training in Trauma-Responsive and Anti-racist Telehealth: A Model for Behavioral Health Clinicians and Providers. Journal of Technology in Behavioral Science, 2025, 10(2):219-223.

[5] Sara L. Gill. Book Review: A Review of: The Body Keeps the Score: Brain, Mind, and Body in the Healing of Trauma by Bessel van der Kolk. Journal of Human Lactation, 2024, 40(1):164-165.

[6] Maria Nikolajeva. From Mythic to Linear: Time in Children's Literature. Scarecrow Press, 2000.

[7] Gaston Bachelard, M. Jolas, and Étienne Gilson. The Poetics of Space. Beacon Press, 1994.

[8] David Elkind. The Hurried Child: Growing Up Too Fast Too Soon. Perseus Publishing, 2001.

[9] Tommie Soro. How Symbolic Value is Constructed Through Utterances: Discursive Power and Performances of Reputation in the Field of Online Art Magazines. Poetics, 2021, 12(89): 101572.

[10] P. H. Gulliver. Victor W. Turner: The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure. The Lewis Henry Morgan Lectures, 1966. Bulletin of the School of Oriental & African Studies, 1971, 34(1):195-196.

[11] Lawrence J. Friedman. Erik Erikson on Identity, Generativity and Pseudospeciation: A Biographer's Perspective. Psychoanalysis and History, 2001, 3(2):179-192.

[12] Erik H. Erikson. Identity: Youth and Crisis. W.W. Norton & Company, 1994.

[13] Chao Liu, et al. Cooperative and Individual Mandala Drawing Have Different Effects on Mindfulness, Spirituality and Subjective Well-Being. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.620699.

[14] Edith Kramer. Art as Therapy with Children. Schocken Books, 1971.

[15] C.S. Lewis. The Lion, the Witch and the Wardrobe. HarperCollins, 1950.

[16] Katherine Paterson. Author's Note. In

Bridge to Terabithia (40th Anniversary Ed.). HarperCollins, 2017: 179–181.

[17] Viktor E. Frankl. Man's Search for Meaning. Pocket Books 67. 2005, 9: 671-677.