Occupation and Professional Education Vol. 2 No. 10, 2025

Research Progress on Industry-Education Integration from the Perspective of New Quality Productive Forces

Hui Guo
School of Transportation and Economics Management, Guangdong Communication Polytechnic, Qingyuan, Guangdong, China

Abstracts: This study provides a comprehensive review of the research evolution related to industry-education integration within the framework of new quality productive forces. It first explores the interactive relationship between new quality productive forces and industry-education integration, clarifying the reconceptualization of new quality productive forces, the transformation of the functional orientation of industry-education integration, and their inherent connections. The paper then summarizes multidimensional challenges identified in practice, including poor coordination among stakeholders, structural imbalances between talent supply and demand, and misalignment between academic disciplines and industrial requirements. Based on this analysis, the research synthesizes existing countermeasures, covering innovative models for industry-education integration, the rationale for building integrated industry-education ecosystems, and strategies for vocational education to strengthen new quality productive forces. Finally, the study points out limitations in current research and suggests that future studies should deepen core theoretical explorations and adopt more diverse methodologies.

Keywords: Research Progress; New Quality Productive Forces; Industry-Education Integration; Practical Challenges; Countermeasures; Future Research Directions

1. Research Significance

1.1 Research Background: Current Imperatives

Amid economic globalization and rapid technological progress, fostering new quality productive forces and deepening industry-education integration have become crucial drivers of high-quality socioeconomic development. As industrial structures evolve and the knowledge economy expands, the interdependence between education and industry has grown stronger. Industry-education integration plays an increasingly vital role in enhancing workforce quality, stimulating technological innovation, and supporting industrial upgrading. However, in the context of new quality productive forces, effectively promoting industry-education integration to achieve synergistic development between education and industry remains a pressing issue. Although existing studies have yielded some results, debates and challenges persist in areas such as theoretical construction, practical approaches, and institutional innovation, calling for a systematic review.

1.2 Research Value

Research on industry-education integration from the perspective of new quality productive forces has gained increasing attention. On one hand, it relates to the reform and innovation of the education system, reflected in the restructuring of curriculum systems, updates in practical teaching models, and the development of two-way faculty exchange mechanisms. On the other hand, it is closely linked to the optimization of national economic structures and industrial upgrading, particularly in strategic emerging industries and future-oriented sectors, where structural mismatches in talent supply and demand necessitate closer integration between the education chain and the industrial chain. Currently, with advances in the global technological revolution and industrial transformation, disruptive technologies such as quantum information and biotechnology are growing rapidly, while the marginal returns of traditional production factors are declining, and the importance of knowledge, data, and other new factors is rising significantly. Cultivating

high-quality talent suited to the new era—particularly interdisciplinary professionals with digital skills and forward-looking industrial insights—through deepened industry-education integration has become an urgent task. By systematically reviewing existing literature, this study aims to analyze the current state of research, identify gaps and challenges, and offer directions for future studies, thereby contributing academic and theoretical insights.

1.3 Review Objectives and Framework

This review aims to explore theoretical and practical pathways for the development of industry-education integration under the framework of new quality productive forces. It focuses on the reconceptualization of new quality productive forces and their interactive mechanisms with industry-education integration. Through a systematic examination of existing research, the review seeks to clarify points of consensus and divergence in current studies and provide guidance for future research. The logical framework is as follows: first, defining the essence of new quality productive forces; second, analyzing their interactive mechanisms with industry-education integration; third, examining practical challenges from an empirical perspective; and finally, discussing innovative models for industry-education integration, the development of industry-education integration communities, and specific strategies for vocational education to empower new quality productive forces.

2. Interactive Mechanisms between New Quality Productive Forces and Industry-Education Integration: Theoretical Foundations

2.1 Reconceptualizing New Quality Productive Forces

Recent research has increasingly focused on reinterpretating the concept of new quality productive forces and its relevance to vocational education. Xie et al. suggest that new quality productive forces are driven by revolutionary technological breakthroughs, innovative allocation of production factors, and profound industrial transformation, characterized by high technology, high efficiency, and high quality [1]. Xu et al. further elaborate on the concept within vocational education, defining it as a productive force centered on cultivating innovative high-skilled talent, marked by effective industry-education integration, advanced teaching models, and aimed at promoting high-quality social development [2]. Li examines the dialectical relationship between new quality productive forces and modern vocational education in China, emphasizing that the concept provides a high-level rationale and foundational impetus for the ongoing development of vocational education [3]. Ma et al. explore the mechanisms through which vocational education supports new quality productive forces, arguing that vocational education contributes via applied technological innovation, high-skilled talent cultivation, and deep industry-education alignment mechanisms [4]. In summary, the concept of new quality productive forces has been redefined within vocational education, underscoring the importance of technological breakthroughs, industry-education integration, and talent development, thereby offering theoretical support for high-quality development.

2.2 Evolution of the Functional Orientation of Industry-Education Integration

Regarding the functional orientation of industry-education integration across different stages of productive forces development, Zhang investigates the essential characteristics of industry-education integration communities under the perspective of new quality productive forces. The study indicates that in traditional productive forces stages, these communities were based on natural relationships, forming complementary and mutually supportive connections. In modern productive forces stages, under legal and regulatory frameworks, they developed organizational ties characterized by "superficial harmony." In the postmodern era represented by new quality productive forces, industry-education integration communities exhibit "innovation-driven" organizational mechanisms [5]. Yang et al. analyze the evolution of industry-education integration organizations in vocational education, identifying four stages: complete dependency, internal fragmentation, initial breakthrough, and deep integration, with nonlinear and dynamic development traits. Under new quality productive forces, these organizations face challenges such as loosely aligned interests and constrained collaborative governance systems, requiring solutions through cohesive goals and optimized governance structures [6]. In

summary, the functional orientation of industry-education integration continuously adapts to stages of productive forces development, evolving from traditional complementary relationships to modern regulatory frameworks and postmodern innovation-driven approaches, reflecting its adaptability and innovative nature.

3. Multidimensional Practical Challenges: Empirical Findings and Critique

3.1 Ineffective Stakeholder Coordination

In recent years, the issue of poor coordination among enterprises and institutions in industry-education integration under new quality productive forces has become evident. Chen et al. find that due to divergent interests among stakeholders and inconsistent regional policies, the breadth and depth of integration between "industry" and "education" are inadequate, resulting in low efficiency, weak synergy, high communication costs, and misaligned objectives [7]. Deng et al. point out that the main challenge lies in the failure to identify precise common interests among parties, leading to fragmented efforts and mutual blame when issues arise [8]. Ren et al. note that cooperation between some institutions and enterprises remains superficial, lacking deep integration mechanisms and implementation guidelines, with limited enterprise involvement in designing joint talent training programs, curriculum development, textbook compilation, and teaching delivery [9]. In summary, the root causes of ineffective stakeholder coordination include the absence of substantive common interests and formalistic integration mechanisms. Addressing these issues requires establishing comprehensive, deep integration mechanisms along with clear interest distribution, implementation rules, and monitoring systems.

3.2 Structural Imbalance between Talent Supply and Demand

Existing research analyzes the structural mismatch between talent supply and demand in industry-education integration from the perspective of new quality productive forces and proposes improvement measures. Chen et al. identify key issues in collaborative talent cultivation, including misalignment between talent supply and industrial needs, superficial integration, and inadequate cooperation mechanisms. They suggest dynamically adjusting talent training programs based on enterprise demands, optimizing curricula and content, and building industry-education integration communities [10]. Liu explores the formation mechanisms, value logic, and development pathways of industry-education integration communities, highlighting their cross-domain governance, resource integration, and embedded entity characteristics as foundations for sustainable ecosystem development [11]. Wang et al. examine the positioning, challenges, and strategies for cultivating top-notch innovative talent from the perspective of new quality productive forces, critiquing the overemphasis on excellence over innovation and the lack of original innovation due to insufficient interdisciplinary collaboration [12]. Xuan et al. analyze the logic, bottlenecks, and pathways of digital-intelligent empowerment for new quality talent cultivation in vocational colleges, pointing to slow curriculum updates and fragmented links between institutions and industry in digital-intelligent chains [13]. Zhao et al. explore ways to enhance the substance of talent cultivation in higher education under the framework of new quality productive forces, noting shortcomings such as homogenized training objectives and curricula misaligned with talent development needs [14]. Together, these studies illustrate the complexity of structural imbalances and offer multidimensional strategies, emphasizing multi-stakeholder collaboration, digital-intelligent empowerment, and restructuring educational evaluation systems.

3.3 Disconnect between Disciplines and Industry

Regarding the misalignment between academic disciplines and industry—i.e., the mismatch between disciplinary offerings and curricula in higher and vocational education and industrial requirements—Hu et al. use panel data from 30 provincial-level units in China from 2015 to 2021 to measure the coupling coordination between vocational education and industrial development. They find that vocational education development lags behind industrial demands, with the coordination degree fluctuating from 0.622 in 2015 to 0.532 in 2021, remaining at a medium transition level [15]. Zhu et al. note that modern industrial colleges supporting new quality productive forces face challenges such as divergent organizational

goals and disconnects between institutional technological innovation and enterprise needs, limiting the cultivation of new-type laborers [16]. In summary, the discipline-industry disconnect is common in higher education, necessitating optimized disciplinary structures and curricula to better align with industrial development.

3.4 Supervision and Evaluation Challenges

Regarding supervision and assessment challenges in industry-education integration for new quality productive forces, Chen et al. analyze existing contradictions in collaborative talent cultivation, noting that current educational evaluations overemphasize traditional academic research and classroom teaching, failing to highlight the core value of industry-education integration and thus limiting faculty engagement [10]. Li finds that existing faculty evaluation mechanisms focus excessively on quantifiable outcomes, leading to perfunctory teaching efforts under multiple assessment pressures [3]. Wang et al. reveal that grade-dominated evaluation criteria encourage students to prioritize utilitarian knowledge acquisition over interest-driven academic pursuits, reducing motivation beyond grade-related content [12]. In summary, the current educational framework inadequately reflects the strategic importance of industry-education integration, undermining faculty motivation and constraining the development of student practical and innovative abilities.

3.5 Inadequate Industry-Education Integration Mechanisms

Addressing the inadequacy of industry-education integration mechanisms and its negative impact on vocational education and industrial development, Yang et al. analyze the evolution of industry-education integration organizations in vocational education from a historical institutional perspective, identifying challenges such as loosely aligned symbiotic interests, constrained collaborative governance, limited intermediary services for technical skills talent, and ineffective resource integration platforms [6]. Liu emphasizes that building industry-education integration communities requires overcoming existing contradictions, transforming driving forces, and reflecting the characteristics of new quality productive forces [11]. In summary, addressing inadequate mechanisms is urgent for promoting high-quality development in vocational education and industry.

4. Analyzing Solutions to Multidimensional Challenges

4.1 Innovative Pathways for Industry-Education Integration

Current research on innovative pathways for industry-education integration under the perspective of new quality productive forces has yielded some results. Zheng et al. study multivariate models and pathways for industry-education integration in vocational education within the highway transportation sector, finding that traditional school-enterprise cooperation models lack innovation and flexibility, failing to meet new talent cultivation demands of new quality productive forces [17]. Zhang analyzes pathways for constructing industry-education integration communities, arguing that such communities must adopt "innovation-driven" development models, leveraging technological innovation to foster value identity [5]. Li explores the necessity, challenges, and directions of industry-education integration in vocational education driving new quality productive forces, proposing long-term mechanisms for industry-education integration enterprises, frameworks for industry-education integration communities, and deeper integration between vocational education and scientific research [18]. Chen et al. propose optimization paths, such as aligning teaching content with industrial needs and building multi-stakeholder integration communities [10]. Overall, research on innovative pathways has advanced, yet future efforts should strengthen mechanism construction to better link education, talent, industry, and innovation chains.

4.2 Rationale for Building Industry-Education Integration Communities

Regarding the logic and importance of constructing industry-education integration communities from the perspective of new quality productive forces, Li analyzes the necessity from theoretical, historical, and practical perspectives, emphasizing the need to overcome contradictions, transform driving forces, and reflect contemporary characteristics [19]. Liu delves into the generative logic and value dimensions of such communities, highlighting their role in modernizing vocational education governance and improving the precision of

talent-industry alignment [11]. An explores the bidirectional fit between new quality productive forces and industry-education integration communities, noting their advantages as "super-organizations" in supporting new quality productive forces [20]. Zhang discusses the characteristics of these communities, stressing the need for innovation-driven development models and organizational structures built through talent cultivation model innovation [5]. In summary, the construction logic extends beyond organizational forms and operational mechanisms to their supportive role for new quality productive forces, necessitating reinforced community building to deepen integration.

4.3 Strategies for Vocational Education to Empower New Quality Productive Forces

Some scholars explore how vocational education can empower new quality productive forces through various strategies to promote high-quality socioeconomic development. Ma et al. propose strengthening support for technological innovation, enhancing the competitiveness of high-skilled talent, and improving vocational education's adaptability to meet the demands of new quality productive forces [4]. Huang et al. address challenges by focusing on moral education, adopting new talent cultivation concepts, improving scientific research systems, deepening industry-education integration, and optimizing program supply quality [21]. He et al. highlight challenges such as lagging updates in educational content and shallow integration, suggesting accelerated integration, content updates, faculty development, and international cooperation to empower new quality productive forces through high-quality development [22]. Han et al. explore innovative pathways from the perspectives of new concepts, intelligence, and sectors, including establishing a "grand vocational education view" integrating education, technology, and talent; cultivating new quality talent through integration certifications; and supporting strategic emerging and future industries [23]. Collectively, these studies indicate that strategies involving technological innovation, talent cultivation, and industry-education integration can effectively foster new quality productive forces and promote high-quality development.

5. Conclusion

5.1 Current Research Status

A systematic review of literature on industry-education integration under the perspective of new quality productive forces reveals that existing studies primarily address theoretical construction, practical challenges, and innovative pathways. Research indicates clear interactive mechanisms between new quality productive forces and industry-education integration, with strong linkages between the reconceptualization of new quality productive forces and the evolution of integration's functional orientation. However, practical implementation faces significant bottlenecks, including ineffective stakeholder coordination, structural talent mismatches, and discipline-industry misalignment. To overcome these limitations, future research must deepen theoretical propositions—such as deconstructing endogenous motivation systems, designing collaborative response models, and integrating ecological evolution pathways—while innovating methodologies beyond singular analytical modes to combine quantitative and qualitative approaches for comprehensive insights. Additionally, policy research requires attention to fill gaps in systematic and operable policy design, fostering deeper integration and sustained development of new quality productive forces.

5.2 Theoretical Propositions Requiring Further Development

Theoretical propositions needing further development in research on industry-education integration under the perspective of new quality productive forces focus on three dimensions. First, deconstructing endogenous motivation systems: moving beyond surface-level analysis to explore how disruptive technologies and data elements integrate into education-industry synergy logic, revealing dynamic matching patterns among talent supply, technological R&D, and industrial upgrading. Second, designing collaborative response models: constructing closed-loop mechanisms for "demand identification-education adaptation-technological feedback" to address contradictions between rapid productivity iteration and education's long cycles, identifying key parameters and critical conditions affecting interaction efficacy. Third, integrating ecological evolution pathways: developing multi-level

frameworks incorporating policy regulation, cultural synergy, and stakeholder strategic interactions to explain how institutional environments and market mechanisms jointly ensure the structural resilience and evolutionary momentum of "industry-education-research-innovation" systems, providing theoretical foundations for new quality productive forces.

5.3 Need for Methodological Innovation

Research on industry-education integration under the perspective of new quality productive forces has made significant progress but remains limited methodologically. Existing studies predominantly emphasize theoretical discussion and empirical summarization, lacking systematic methodological innovation to fully uncover complex interaction mechanisms. Future efforts should prioritize developing scientific analytical tools and systematic research methods—such as interdisciplinary paradigms, complex system science approaches, big data-driven enhanced analytics, system dynamics modeling, and advanced policy experiments—to strengthen the linkage between research and industrial evidence for new quality productive forces.

5.4 Gaps in Policy Research

Current policy research on industry-education integration under the perspective of new quality productive forces exhibits gaps, particularly in the foresight and systematicity of policy design, failing to fully address new demands imposed by new quality productive forces. Moreover, existing policy research often focuses on macro-level issues, neglecting micro-level implementation challenges such as insufficient policy adaptability and flexibility. Future studies should enhance the scientific rigor and operability of policy design through interdisciplinary collaboration to broaden and deepen policy research, thereby advancing deep industry-education integration.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by 2024 Guangdong Education Science Planning Project (No.2024GXJK1099), entitled "Research on Industry-Education Deep Integration Model and Implementation Pathways for Higher Vocational Education Serving the Development of New Quality Productive Forces."

References

[1] Xie Jiashu, Duan Wenting. A Study on Talent Development Enabling the Advancement of New Quality Productive Forces. Journal of China University of Labor Relations, 2024, (04): 25–35.

[2] Xu Junsheng, Deng Xusheng. New Quality Productive Forces in Vocational Education: Conceptual Analysis, Theoretical Frameworks, and Implementation Approaches. Vocational and Technical Education, 2024, (19): 21–27.

[3] Li Sheng. Functions and Implementation Measures of Modern Vocational Education in Enabling New Quality Productive Forces: An Analysis Based on the Attributes of New Quality Productive Forces. Higher Vocational Education Exploration, 2025, (01): 1–9.

[4] Ma Jun, Guo Xiaoli. Fundamental Logic, Mechanisms, and Implementation Directions of Vocational Education in Promoting New Quality Productive Forces. University Education Management, 2025, (02): 76–85.

[5] Zhang Miao. Towards Innovation: Core Features of Industry-Education Integration Communities from the View of New Quality Productive Forces. Distance Education in China, 2024, (08): 88–96.

[6] Yang Qiuyue, Zhu Xiaoqing, Gu Jianjun. The Development of Industry-Education Integration Organizations in Vocational Education: A Historical Institutional Analysis. Vocational Education Forum, 2025, (01): 1–9.

[7] Chen Kezheng, Mao Changming. Approaches for High-Level Industry-Education Integration to Facilitate the Development of New Quality Productive Forces. China Higher Education, 2025, (05): 34–38.

[8] Deng Xiaohua, Lu Hao. Internal Logic and Pathway Choices of Industry-Education Integration Communities in Promoting the Rise of New Quality Productive Forces. Chinese Vocational and Technical Education, 2024, (24): 21–28+37.

[9] Ren Lu, Li Xin, Zhao Huan, Zhang Ziqing, Fan Hongtao. Exploring the "Field Engineer" Talent Training Model in the Context of New Quality Productive Forces. Research in Higher Engineering Education, 2025, (S1): 163–166.

[10] Chen Libin, Zhao Lisha, Wang Xiaohua. Challenges and Improvement Strategies for

Industry-Education Integration in Higher Education from the Perspective of New Quality Productive Forces. Research in Higher Engineering Education, 2025, (01): 111–116.
[11]Liu Jingjing. Formation Logic, Value Dimensions, and Development Pathways of Industry-Education Integration Communities in China. Vocational Education Forum, 2025, (01): 39–46.
[12]Wang Xianghua, Han Yabin. Orientation, Challenges, and Strategies for Cultivating Top Innovative Talents in Higher Education from the View of New Quality Productive Forces. Heilongjiang Researches on Higher Education, 2025, (07): 89–95.
[13]Xuan Cuixian, Zhang Wei. Digital-Intelligent Enablement of New Quality Talent Training in Higher Vocational Colleges: Logical Insights, Practical Constraints, and Execution Routes. University Education Science, 2025, (01): 117–127.
[14]Zhao Qibo, Liu Zixuan, Liu Zichao. Approaches to Enhance the Substance of Talent Cultivation in Higher Education under the Framework of New Quality Productive Forces Development. Journal of Hebei University of Technology (Social Sciences Edition), 2025, (02): 82–89.
[15]Hu Dexin, Pang Dandan. Assessing the Coupling Coordination between Higher Vocational Education and Industrial Development from the Perspective of New Quality Productive Forces. Education Research Monthly, 2024, (05): 27–36.
[16]Zhu Hongping, Xu Dan. Enabling New Quality Productive Forces via Modern Industrial Colleges in Higher Vocational Education: Rationale, Challenges, and Approaches. Vocational and Technical Education, 2025, (04): 34–39.
[17]Zheng Li, Lei Jianhai, Xiang Chenglong, Ran Yan. Multidimensional Models and Approaches for Industry-Education Integration in Vocational Education under the Development of New Quality Productive Forces: A Case Study of Highway Transportation. Chinese Vocational and Technical Education, 2024, (22): 85–95.
[18]Li Hongchang. Necessity, Practical Challenges, and Guidance for Industry-Education Integration in Vocational Education to Drive New Quality Productive Forces. Chinese Vocational and Technical Education, 2024, (25): 3–10.
[19]Li Yuqian. Logic and Pathways for Building Industry-Education Integration Communities from the Perspective of New Quality Productive Forces. Nanjing Social Sciences, 2023, (12): 122–129.
[20]An Pei. Reflections on Constructing Industry-Education Integration Communities in the Context of New Quality Productive Forces Development. Chinese Vocational and Technical Education, 2024, (24): 38–45+72.
[21]Huang Yi'ou, Yao Jiali. Key Directions, Practical Challenges, and Responses of Vocational Education in Promoting New Quality Productive Forces. Adult Education, 2025, (03): 57–66.
[22]He Bailue, Liu Yanfeng. Internal Logic and Practical Measures for Vocational Education to Strengthen New Quality Productive Forces. Education and Vocation, 2024, (11): 14–21.
[23]Han Fei, Guo Guangshuai. Enabling New Quality Productive Forces through Vocational Education: Theoretical Basis, Practical Obstacles, and Innovative Routes. Vocational Education Forum, 2024, (03): 5–14.